

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
29 April 2004 (29.04.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/035135 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷:
A61B 5/055 // G06T 5/50

A61N 2/02,

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): RUOHONEN, Jarmo [FI/FI]; Sahatie 12 B 40, FIN-01650 Vantaa (FI).

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/FI2003/000772

(74) Agent: SEppo LAINE OY; Itämerenkatu 3 B, FIN-00180 Helsinki (FI).

(22) International Filing Date: 16 October 2003 (16.10.2003)

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT (utility model), AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ (utility model), CZ, DE (utility model), DE, DK (utility model), DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE (utility model), EE, ES, FI (utility model), FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK (utility model), SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(25) Filing Language:

English

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHOD FOR THREE-DIMENSIONAL MODELING OF THE SKULL AND INTERNAL STRUCTURES THEREOF



(57) Abstract: A method is disclosed in this publication for modeling different internal structures of a head, such as different parts of the brain, the method comprising the step of determining the location of the internal structures, such as the different cerebral parts, of at least one first head (B) in a three-dimensional space by technical means, e.g., magnetic resonance imaging or computer-aided tomography. According to the invention, the external dimensions of at least one second head (A) are determined, and the location data of the internal structures of the first head (B) are scaled in a three-dimensional space to correlate with the external dimensions of the second head (A), whereby the location data of the internal structures of the second head (A) also become modeled without the need for anatomical images of the second head (A).



Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Method for three-dimensional modeling of the skull and internal structures thereof

5 The invention relates to a method according to the preamble of claim 1 for three-dimensional modeling of the skull and internal structures thereof.

10 This patent application describes a method suited for modifying a set of magnetic resonance images taken from the head (standard head) so that the external shape of the head determined from such a set of images can be transformed to correlate with the contour of the head of another test person or patient. The present method is particularly suited to the magnetic stimulation of the brain as well as to electro-encephalography and magnetoencephalography.

15 In transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) of the brain, a coil excited with a strong current pulse of short duration is placed over the head. As a result, an electric current stimulating cerebral tissue is induced inside the skull. In order to focus the magnetic stimulation on a certain selected area of the brain, it is often necessary to resort to the magnetic resonance images taken from the test person's or patient's head. Herein, the location and orientation of the coil acting as the response-evoking means of magnetic 20 stimulation is determined in regard to the coordinates of the patient's head with the help of a suitable localizing system. Subsequently, the location of the coil can be mapped on the magnetic resonance images (MRI) of the patient, whereupon the system operator can readily focus the stimulation on a desired area. One such method is described in FI Pat. Appl. 20021416.

25

Respectively, the magnetic resonance images of the patient's head are utilized when there is a need for locating a functional cerebral part in the anatomy of the brain. Brain functions can be recorded and located using conventional methods such as electro- and magnetoencephalography (EEG and MEG). Both of these methods 30 typically use tens or even hundreds of measurement channels that sense electromagnetic fields evoked by brain activity at different points about the head or on the scalp. By knowing the exact locations of the measurement sensors relative to the head

coordinates, it becomes possible to identify brain functions and to visualize the anatomical structure of each point in the magnetic resonance images.

Conventionally, the head anatomy of the patient or test person being examined is
5 first recorded by taking anatomical magnetic resonance images or other type of images resolving anatomical structures. Next, at least three fixed marker points are selected on the head surface such that they can readily be identified on both the magnetic resonance images and the surface of the head. Advantageously, the auditory meatuses and the nasion, for instance, are chosen to serve as marker points. As a
10 result, a coordinate transformation can be formulated suitable for identification of a point in the magnetic resonance images corresponding to a certain point on the surface of the head. Thus, e.g., the location of a TMS coil in regard to anatomical structures can be ascertained or, alternatively, a stimulus-responsive point in the brain located with the help of MEG can be located in regard to anatomical structures.
15 Various techniques are available for making a suitable coordinate transformation. Magnetic resonance images of the test person's head are required in the implementation of this method.

Using known methods, magnetic resonance images can be deformed so as to provide
20 correlation with the respective computer-aided tomography images. The deformation method is called image fusion. In this process, both ones of the sets of images are analyzed to find a plurality of fixed marker points that are identifiable in both image sets. Subsequently, a deforming transformation can be carried out such that the corresponding points of the images to be matched become aligned with each other.

25 In the art are also known methods for warping magnetic resonance images taken by MRI techniques from a test person so that the nonideal properties of magnetic resonance imaging such as the nonlinearity of gradient fields, are corrected. In these methods, correction factors are measured or computed and thereupon the images are
30 respectively deformed. In EP patent publication 1 176 558 is further described a method for external patient contouring with the help of a suitable surface imaging

system, whereupon the information thus gathered is used to deform the patient's MRI images for planning a radiotherapy treatment.

Further methods known in the art are based on deforming by dilatation and contraction warping techniques a set of MRI images taken from different persons so that first the same fixed anatomical or functional marker points are identified in the images of each one of test persons individually. Thereupon a mathematical mapping is computed individually for each test person such that the test person's MRI images are transformed in a fashion allowing the selected marker points of the deformed image sets to have the same coordinates for all the test persons. One such method is the so-called Talairach cerebral imaging system (J. Talairach and P. Tournoux, Co-planar Stereotaxic Atlas of the Human Brain, New York, Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 1988). The goal of this system is to deform the MRI images of different persons so that the MRI images of the different persons' brains can be compared with each other.

The above-described methods have in common that all of them need anatomical images of the test person's head.

Still further in the art are known methods in which the head contour is determined by means of an imaging system and the thus mapped surface of the head is formed into a triangulated grid that serves as a mathematical model in the computation of electromagnetic fields associated with the use of MEG, TMS or EEG. Attempts have also been made to determine by statistical methods from the head contour such a triangulated grid that further represents the brain contour of the same test person. In this kind of method, magnetic resonance images are utilized to generate a statistical model representing correlation between the surfaces of the head contour and the brain contour. One such method is disclosed in publication D. van't Ent, J. C. de Munck, and Amanda L. Kaas, A Fast Method to Derive Realistic BEM Models for E/MEG Source Reconstruction, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. (2001), BME 48(12):1434-1443. This method, however, is not used for processing MR images.

A problem hampering the use of the prior-art methods and apparatuses is that the analysis or visualization of data represented by the MRI images is possible only by taking the magnetic resonance images separately from each patient's or test person's head. Due to the high cost of magnetic resonance images of the head, also the overall cost of TMS, EEG and MEG examinations become high. Resultingly, the availability of TMS, MEG and EEG is limited.

If magnetic resonance images taken from the head of the person being examined are not available or the use thereof is not desirable, it is difficult to visualize even coarsely the area of the skull hiding a given brain region of interest. The basic reason hereto is that the head contour and size vary largely from person to person.

In a typical TMS examination, for instance, it may be desirable to focus the magnetic stimulus on the prefrontal region of the left hemisphere by placing a figure-of-eight stimulation coil at the desired area of the head. However, it is difficult to select the proper area on the head if no anatomical images of the interior structures of the head are available. Respectively in a typical MEG and EEG recording session a response is discovered relating to a certain task, which can be located inside the head in relation to marker points situated external to the head. Lacking access to anatomical images illustrating the interior structures of the head, however, it is difficult to tell the anatomical part of the brain that coincides with the identified point of response.

In another typical MEG or EEG examination, the task may be to identify brain activity at two different regions of the brain as a response to, e.g., a task involving motor skills. In this exemplary case, the first region can be positively identified based on such variables, among others, as the characteristic waveform of the response to represent the function of the motor cortex, while the anatomical locus of the other component of the response cannot be located without resorting to magnetic resonance imaging or other techniques such as computer-aided tomography suited for resolving anatomical structures.

It is an object of the present invention to provide an entirely novel kind of method capable of overcoming the problems of the above-described prior art.

Accordingly, the invention strives to achieve a fully new approach to the approximate localization of the major brain regions in a test person's head without the need for magnetic resonance imaging. The method is particularly useful in the focusing of magnetic stimulation and in the interpretation and visualization of results obtained by means of magnetic stimulation, EEG and MEG. This facility can be employed, e.g., for making screening measurements for large groups of patients without the need for taking costly MRI images from each patient individually.

The goal of the invention is attained by virtue of modeling the coordinates of the test person's head as to its different internal anatomical regions and particularly its different brain regions on the basis of the test person's head contour and, additionally, on the basis of the different internal anatomical regions, particularly its different brain regions, actually recorded from the head of another test person.

More specifically, the method according to the invention is characterized by what is stated in the characterizing part of claim 1.

20

The invention offers significant benefits.

25

One major advantage is that the patient need not be subjected to magnetic resonance imaging to identify the internal anatomy of the patient's head for proper focusing of magnetic stimulation or analysis of MEG and EEG recordings.

Another advantage is that the method allows the stimulation responses of different patients to be compared with each other in the coordinate system of a "standard head".

30

A third advantage is that a deforming image transform can be carried out or refined using functional marker points identified in the interior volume of the brain.

A still further advantage is that the method makes it possible to readily indicate without anatomical imaging the coarse coordinates of a point on the head surface under which a given anatomical brain region is located. Furthermore a coarse location of a
5 given brain anatomical region under a selected point on the head surface is possible without the need for magnetic resonance imaging.

In the following the invention will be examined with the help of exemplary embodiments and by making reference to the appended drawing in which
10

FIG. 1 is a schematic illustration showing the use of a method according to the invention in a single plane.

Referring to FIG. 1, the upper diagram shows a single plane of accurate magnetic
15 resonance images taken from the head of a test person B. Test person A is measured only for the external dimensions of the head in order to draw the sectional plane A shown in the middle diagram. According to the invention, the coordinate data of diagram B are dilated and/or contracted (that is, scaled) so as to fit the data within the confines of sectional plane A, whereby the diagram of sectional plane A is
20 transformed into a modeled sectional diagram A'. In the exemplary case, it has been necessary to dilate the diagram shape of sectional plane B in the vertical direction and to contract in the horizontal direction.

The above-described procedure is applied entirely identically also in the height direction,
25 whereby three-dimensional modeling is attained.

Accordingly, the invention is based on using a method wherein the head contour of the person (first person A) being examined is determined by measuring the coordinates of selected marker points on the scalp with the help of a localization system.
30 Advantageously, the number of measured marker points is some tens and they are located at different sides of the head. The greater the number of measured marker points the better is the result of the deformation process. Already five marker points

on the head (forehead, left side, right side occipital protuberance and parietal top) give relatively accurate results. Next, the head contour of some other person (person B) is determined from magnetic resonance images taken earlier from this person's head. The images of person B are deformed (scaled) computationally using translation, rotation and linear and/or nonlinear deformation so as to make the shape of the head images correlate with the contour of the person's scalp, whereby a deforming linear or nonlinear transformation between both shapes takes place. The head images taken from person B may also be called a standard head. In the method the transformation is applied volumetrically to the entire sets of magnetic resonance images, that is, also to coordinates located in the interior volume of the head. Herein the location and shape of the anatomical structures may become distorted. It is also possible to use a plurality of standard heads (e.g., separately for adults and children), whereby a standard head of closest fit can be individually selected for each patient. Also the racial differences between head contours can be taken into account by maintaining a selection of different standard heads. Advantageously, the standard head is computed using a set of MRI images having a good resolution, e.g., 256×256 pixels in each sectional plane.

Image deformation (scaling) can be carried out, for instance, in the following manner. First, the magnetic resonance images of the standard head, that is, those taken from the head of person B, covering an entire sectional plane of the head are segmented by determining the coordinates of selected marker points on the skull surface. Next, selected points of the scalp of person A being examined are determined in the earlier described fashion using a localizing system. Thereupon a suitable linear or nonlinear deformation algorithm is carried out such that the magnetic resonance images of person B are deformed maximally well to correlate with the head shape of person A. The deformation transform may also be incomplete, whereby the shapes of the two heads are not aggressively deformed to full correlation. Suitable deformation algorithms are extensively described in the literature of the art. The magnetic resonance images can be represented digitally in any known graphic format such as pixel or vector graphics.

One exemplary deformation technique comprises determining from the magnetic resonance images of person B the location of, e.g., five marker points (the left and right auditory meatuses, the nasal bend also called the nasion, the occipital protuberance also called the inion and the parietal top). The respective marker points are determined with the help of localizing system from the head surface of the person being examined. First, the marker points are registered with each other by way of carrying out a transformation that by translation and rotation makes the respective marker points of the heads of persons A and B to converge with each other.

Subsequently, a linear scaling algorithm can be applied to the magnetic resonance images of test person B can be subjected to linear scaling such that the respective marker points unite with each other. As a result, a deforming transform takes place capable of making the head shape identified from the magnetic resonance images of person B to correlate coarsely with the head shape of person A. When necessary, the procedure may be similarly extended to correlation of a larger number of marker points.

One possible deformation procedure comprises the use of an algorithm described in publication J. Lötjönen, et al.: Model Extraction from Magnetic Resonance Volume Data Using the Deformable Pyramid, Medical Image Analysis, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 387-406, 1999). First, the magnetic resonance images of person B are processed to determine marker points on the head surface, e.g., by image thresholding. The head contour of person A is determined at N points using a localizing system. Both sets of points are registered with each other by way of performing translation and rotation operations such that make the sets of points to converge with each other maximally well. When using optimally selected translation and rotation operators, one possible strategy is, for instance, to aim at a minimum sum of squares of differences between local radii of curvature on the correlating surfaces. Next, the magnetic resonance images are divided into a cubic grid of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ voxels. An energy function E is defined that may be, e.g., the sum of distances from the points of image set A to the respective next closest point of image set B. Also for each elementary cube of the grid is written a deformation function $f(x,y,z)$ that typically is a spline or polynomial function (such as Bernstein polynomials) and thus defines the amount of translation

at other points of the cubic grid caused by a shift of one corner point of the grid. Generally, the amount of grid deformation becomes the smaller the larger the distance of the grid point from the corner point. The deformation function may be linear or nonlinear. Next, the locations of the grid points are translated so as to minimize energy function E. As a result, the elementary cubes of the initially perfect cubic grid are dilated or contracted and thus deformed. The deformation function f is applied to each elementary cube. After the minimization of energy function E, the surfaces of the heads correlate with each other. In practice, also certain boundary conditions must be defined for the cubic grid. For instance, it may be advantageous to confine the dilation of the individual elementary cubes so that the dilation of all elementary cubes is uniform. Such a suitable boundary condition may be implemented in energy function E.

In another embodiment of the invention, also functional marker points of the brain may be utilized. Herein, the localization of the motor cortex area of a person being examined but not having MR images of the head available can be carried out by magnetic stimulation or, alternatively, using electroencephalography or magneto-encephalography or infrared tomography. Localization is performed relative to external marker points of the head (e.g., ears and nose). Similar localization is performed in beforehand for another person (person B serving as a standard head) for whom the magnetic resonance images of the head are available. The localization of the motor cortex of person B is performed from the MR images. The set of magnetic resonance images is warped so as to make the locations of the motor cortex of the patient and the second person to correlate. Additionally, the MR images of person B are deformed so as to bring them into at least partial correlation with the head shape of the person being examined. A similar procedure is also applicable to the utilization of multiple different functional marker points such as the motor or visual cortical areas of both hemispheres. When so desired, it is also possible to utilize herein the location of such a functional marker point that has been determined by statistical methods for a plurality of persons.

- An example of the use of functional marker points in image deformation is represented by TMS. With the help of this method, the location of the motor cortex can be readily determined by moving the stimulation coil over the head until the strongest muscle response (recorded by EMG) is detected in the hand muscles of the opposite side of the body. The same localization may be carried out for both hemispheres.
- Using suitable weights in the deformation procedure, the motor cortex locations of the person being examined and person B are made to coincide with each other.
- An essential feature of the present method is that the locations of the magnetic stimulation coil, the EEG electrodes or the MEG sensors are measured relative to the test person's head coordinates using a localization system. Herein, on the person's head is mounted a position sensor whose location can be determined with the help of a localization system. The localization system is used for determining at least three marker points of the head (such that may also be identified in the MRI images), whereupon the deformation of the image coordinates can be performed. The localization system used in the invention can be based, e.g., on infrared radiation or electromagnetic fields. This kind of equipment is commercially marketed, e.g., by a Canadian company Northern Digital Inc., for instance.
- In the context of the present application, scaling refers to a data processing method in which data generally representing an image is transformed into another form by linear or nonlinear procedures of dilatation/contraction warping of the image. An alternative term for this operation is deformation.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for modeling different internal structures of a head, such as different parts of the brain, in order to focus magnetic stimulation and/or visualize the results of magnetic stimulation, MEG or EEG, the method comprising the step of
 - 5 – determining the location of the internal structures, such as the different cerebral parts, of at least one first head (B) in a three-dimensional space by technical means, e.g., magnetic resonance imaging or computer-aided tomography,
- 10 characterized in that
 - the external dimensions of at least one second head (A) are determined, and
 - the location data of said internal structures of said first head (B) are scaled in a three-dimensional space to correlate with said external dimensions of said second head (A), whereby the location data of the internal structures of said second head (A) also become modeled without the need for anatomical images of said second head (A).
- 15
- 20 2. The method of claim 1, characterized in that the method is utilized in the focusing of magnetic stimulation and/or visualization of results obtained by magnetic stimulation, MEG or EEG.
- 25 3. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that said location data is displayed in an image format and the scaling thereof is implemented by mutual moving of individual pixels.
4. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that the response recorded by MEG or EEG or, alternatively, the effective stimulating field of TMS is localized in relation to anatomical marker points determined on the head surface.
- 30 5. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that the measurement system of the external head dimensions is based on the use of infrared light,

electromagnetic fields, laser light or a pointer equipped with electrical position sensor means.

6. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that the image scaling algorithm includes an optional scaling facility that in the scaled set of superposed images adjusts the distance from the cortex to the scalp to a value typical for the person being examined in a cohort of persons of the same age.
5
7. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that, in addition to head surface marker points or in lieu thereof, the deformation operation is carried out utilizing the location data of such functional points of the brain that, without using magnetic resonance imaging, can instead be localized with the help of magnetic stimulation, MEG or EEG as functional points of the brain.
10
8. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that the image deformation is performed using a minimizing algorithm that minimizes the mutual distances between the respective points of the deformed image of head (A) and the points measured on the surface of a second person's head (B).
15
9. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that the computation results of the minimization algorithm are accepted even when the mutual distances between respective image points are not reduced to zero.
20
10. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that the method is utilized for visualizing in a layman fashion the results of TMS, EEG or MEG examinations performed on a patient having no magnetic resonance images of his/her head available.
25
11. The method of any one of foregoing claims, characterized in that the method is utilized in the display of results in a single set of MR images obtained from measurements performed on a plurality of test persons.
30

12. The method of any one of foregoing claims, **characterized** in that the standard head used in the method is selected from a library of plural magnetic resonance images taken from a plurality of persons representing heads of different types and shapes.

5

13. The method of any one of foregoing claims, **characterized** in that linear scaling is used in the method.

10 14. The method of any one of foregoing claims, **characterized** in that nonlinear scaling is used in the method.




Fig. 1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Rec'd PCT/ISA/2005 International Application No. 28 MAR 2005

PCT/03/00772

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 A61N2/02 A61B5/055 //G06T5/50

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
 IPC 7 A61N A61B G06T

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	ETTINGER, G.J. ET AL : "Non-invasive functional brain mapping using registered transcranial magnetic stimulation" MATHEMATICAL METHODS IN BIOMEDICAL IMAGE ANALYSIS, PROCEEDINGS OF THE WORKSHOP, 21 - 22 June 1996, pages 32-41, XP002269715 the whole document ---	1-14
A	EP 1 176 558 A (MARCONI MEDICAL SYS INC) 30 January 2002 (2002-01-30) abstract	1-14
A	US 5 291 889 A (KENET ROBERT O ET AL) 8 March 1994 (1994-03-08) abstract --- -/-	1-14

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 February 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

19 MAART 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

JOHANNA SCHYBERG/JAA

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 03/00772

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P,A	WO 03 082405 A (MUSC FOUNDATION FOR RES DEV ;GEORGE MARK S (US); BOHNING DARYL E () 9 October 2003 (2003-10-09) abstract ---	1-14
P,A	US 2003/073899 A1 (KARHU JARI ET AL) 17 April 2003 (2003-04-17) the whole document ---	1-14
P,A	EP 1 270 043 A (BRAINLAB AG) 2 January 2003 (2003-01-02) abstract -----	1-14

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/03/00772

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 1176558	A	30-01-2002	US	6594516 B1		15-07-2003
			EP	1176558 A2		30-01-2002
			JP	2002102208 A		09-04-2002
US 5291889	A	08-03-1994	NONE			
WO 03082405	A	09-10-2003	WO	03082405 A1		09-10-2003
US 2003073899	A1	17-04-2003	FI	20012017 A		18-04-2003
			CA	2408775 A1		17-04-2003
			DE	10248316 A1		12-06-2003
			GB	2382780 A		11-06-2003
			JP	2003180649 A		02-07-2003
EP 1270043	A	02-01-2003	EP	1273320 A1		08-01-2003
			EP	1270043 A1		02-01-2003
			EP	1269913 A1		02-01-2003
			US	2003004392 A1		02-01-2003
			US	2003023159 A1		30-01-2003
			US	2003065243 A1		03-04-2003

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/FI 03/00772

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.: **1-14**
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
2. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.1

Claims Nos.: 1-14

The method of claims 1-14 comprises in-vivo measurements performed on a patient in order to determine the location of the internal structures of at least one head by e.g. magnetic resonance imaging. This necessarily requires medically skilled staff and the method is to be carried out under the responsibility of a doctor. Thus, the International Search Authority is not required to carry out an international search for these claims (Rule 39.1(iv)).

However, the claimed invention is directed at an imaging method, which does not necessarily include the step of performing in-vivo measurements. Hence, the search has been restricted to an invention describing an imaging method, excluding the first step of determining the location of the internal structures.